## FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP 1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 700 CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114-2518 (216) 861-5582

RECEIVED NOV 0 3 2003 TC 1700

DATE:

April 22, 2003

TO:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

ATTENTION:

**Examiner Nutter, GAU 1711** 

FACSIMILE NO.:

1 (703) 872-9680

FROM:

Timothy E. Nauman, Reg. No. 32,283

RE:

Serial No. 09/981,419, filed September 26, 2001

Our Reference: CSA 2 0147

Total number of pages (including this cover sheet): 28
Please call us immediately at (216) 861-5582 if the telecopy you receive is incomplete or illegible. Our facsimile numbers are (216) 241-1666 and (216) 241-5147.

Per our discussion, attached are the amendment faxed February 3, 2003 and the Supplemental IDS mailed March 10, 2003.

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission include information from the firm of Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP that might be legally privileged and/or confidential. The information is intended for the use of only the individual or entity named on this cover sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, or distribution of these documents, or the taking of any action based on the contents of this transmission, is prohibited. If you hav received this transmission in error, these documents should be returned to this firm as soon as possible, and we ask that you notify us immediat ly by telephone so we can arrange for their return to us without cost to you.

R2/R3/R3 18:47:27

~9SPTO->

2162411666 RY

Page 001

TO:Auto-reply fax to 216 241 1666 COMPANY:





TO:

Fax Sender at 216 241 1666

Fax Information Date Received: Total Pages:

NOV OF YED TO 1700 2/3/03 6:37:24 PM [Eastern Standard Time]

23 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page

•	
Confliction of Parished in	proce is builty bacaloule experimented in the U.M. Princips and Treatment Oftion Post No.
OM: BAS MARINE	12100
bound a bout	23/03
Amana Lamas	PATENT
	TED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
IN THE UNI	Nelkon M. NURDI
in ne application of	) Examina Hanna
Hans-Joachim Graf	) Group Art Unit: 1711
Serial No.: 09/981,419	) Gloup ret 2:
Filed: October 18, 2001	) 
For. BLEND OF EPDM USING AN EPDM	DF 1
DIFFERENT ORIG	ASA (
COMPATIBILIZER	
Attorney Docket No.: CSA	<b>4.</b>
Assistent Cemmissioner fo Washington, D.C. 20231	or Patente
AASTINISierii E. A	AMENDMENT
	•
Degr Sir:	the extending Office Action
This constit	unication is responsive to the outstanding Office Action
issued on November 20, 3	2002 in connection with the above captioned palent
application, Plessa amen	rd (ne application සං follows:
IN THE SPECIFICATION	<u>4i</u>
Planse ropi	lace the paragraph starting on page 2, line 5 with the
following new paragraph	2
Styrene-bu	radione rubber (SER) is e diona rubber that is often
considered a candidate (	for blanding with EPDM. It is tow in cost and relatively easy
to process. Unfortunatel	ly, SBR, along with most other disne rubbers, are immiscible
in EPDM and exhibit cul	re incompatibility with EPDM. This cure incompatibility of
EPDM and highly unsat	purated diene rubbers is demonstrated by the poor uting composition in stree-strein tests. In addition, SBR doos
performance of the resu	ufting composition is observed in the state of the state
not tolerate high lovels	of expan place and moderately high levels of filler are